

## Peer review

*Scientific Annals of the "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University of Iasi*, new series, History, aims to publish high-level research studies by applying the practice of reviewing works by historians. The *peer review* process is carried out by specialized reviewers according to the following phases:

Phase 1. **Initial assessment:** The studies / reviews will be read by the editorial staff and evaluated to see if they meet the basic requirements of the scientific research in the field of History (in terms of subject, methods used, sources and bibliography). Studies that will be accepted at this stage, but where there is a need to address some technical issues (such as lack of notes, unclear text, illustration issues) will be referred to the authors for correction of the shortcomings; studies fulfilling the basic criteria will pass to Phase 2.

Phase 2. **Review by specialists:** Each study will be read and reviewed by an external and independent specialist reviewer, without being aware of the author's name. The review will take place within four weeks of submitting the article and will analyze: the situation of research in the field; the methodology used; article structure; clarity of argument; scientific contribution. The author will not know the name of the reviewer (Double-Blind Peer Review).

Phase 3. **Analyzing the report in the editorial board:** After receiving the reviewing report, the editorial board takes a decision on each article, followed by sending the study to the author to be modified (where applicable). Within two weeks, the author will return the study. With his final agreement, the editorial board will send the study to the press.